

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,489		10/26/2000	Van L. Phillips	FLEXFT.146CP2	6577
20995	7590	06/14/2002			
		IS OLSON & BI	EXAMINER		
620 NEWPO	I FLOOR		JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE		
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/698,489	PHILLIPS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jackson J Suzette	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howevent. In a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SIStatute. cause the application to be	ur, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 May 2002						
	This action is non-fina	al					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	, <u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims	····· =·· <b>/</b> · ····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,10-12,15,16 and 53-61</u> is/are	e pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from considerat	ion.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-57</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,11 and 58-61</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,11 and 58-61</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12,15 and 16</u> is/are objected t	0.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirem	ent.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
application from the Internationa	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
<u> </u>	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a)  The translation of the foreign language 15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don							
Attachment(s)							
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ⊠ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	s) N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther: 1449 cont. includes #9 and #11.					

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 2-6, 8-9, 13-14, 17-52, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10 to elect Species O and claims 1, 7, 10-12, 15-16 and 53-61.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 58-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 58 recites the limitation "the ankle bock" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/698,489

Art Unit: 3738

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 7 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,206,934. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because patent '934 claim 1 states: a foot plate element having a length approximately equal to the length of a human foot, the foot plate element comprising a resilient material capable of flexing along its length; an ankle plate element having a length substantially shorter than the foot plate element; an ankle block comprising a relatively soft compressible material sandwiched between the ankle plate element and the foot plate element, the ankle block providing energy storage and support...whereby the foot plate element, the ankle block and th4e spring element flex in a cooperative manner to provide substantially smooth and continuous roll over transition from heel-strike to toe-off. Claim 10 further provides for one opening extending through the ankle block adapted to receive a stiffener for adjusting the spring characteristics of the prosthetic foot. It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the at least one opening is transverse as claimed by applicant because the terminology has the same meaning as extending through the ankle block.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,489

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

7. Claims 53-57 are allowed.

Claims 10, 12, and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, 8.

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 58-61 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 9.

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Phillips 5,899,944 and Atkinson et al. 6,350,286; Phillips 6,280,479 all show related

material.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30

off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is 12.

assigned are 703-305-3580.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,489

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

S. Jackson

11 June 2002

David H. Willse Primary Examiner